Styrene abatement techniques

Techniques for reducing environment pollution (abatement techniques)
There are a number of abatement techniques available for reducing Volatile Organic Emission (VOCs) into the environment. Some of the techniques are more applicable than others to the treatment of air containing low levels of organic vapours. This is frequently the case when manufacturing fibre reinforced unsaturated polyester resin components using open mould techniques. This information bulletin describes various processes that can be used to clean exhaust air from polyester processing facilities.

Pollution prevention
The most effective abatement technique is to prevent the escape of VOC's into the workplace and subsequently into the atmosphere. The use of low styrene emission and low styrene content resins will assist in this respect in open moulding applications. It reduces the level of VOC emitted, compared with conventional resins. Even more effective are the use of closed mould techniques, such as vacuum bagging, Resin Transfer Moulding (RTM), RTM light (using a light weight, in-expensive male tool) and hot and cold press moulding.

Types of abatement techniques
When styrene emission has to be controlled a number of abatement techniques exist.

Recovery methods
Recovery is only really viable if there is a large amount of solvent that can be recovered and sold, or there is a use for the recovered solvent on the site where it has been recovered. In the GRP industry the exhaust gas contains only low concentrations of VOCs and this increases the capital and running costs of a solvent recovery process; hence there is little economical justification for recovery systems in this industry.

Solvent recovery
- Adsorption recovery, pressure swing or thermal (using zeolites, polymeric adsorbents or activated charcoal)
- Condensation (cryogenic)
- Absorption of oils

Solvent destruction
- On-site oxidation using thermal or catalytic oxidiser (either regenerative or recuperative)
- Bio-filtration or bio-scrubbing
- Adsorption onto a sacrificial bed (activated carbon)
- Absorption into a sacrificial liquid
- Concentration systems followed by oxidation

Abatement techniques where the styrene vapour is removed by incineration or biological processes are more appropriate for the polyester processing industry. The following processes are used and have proven to be suitable:

- **Incineration**
  - High temperature incineration or catalytic incineration (at a lower temperature) gives high efficiencies of around 99% with energy recycling. To be economically viable the process must use only the combustible pollutant as fuel and require no additional fuel input (except for start up or during short stoppages).

- **Direct thermal oxidisers**
  - Regenerative thermal oxidisers offer good destruction efficiencies (96-98%) with 90% heat recovery using gravel or ceramic beds. They can operate auto-thermally, without using extra solvent, at approximately 1g/m³ recovery of solvent. At inlet concentrations below this level additional sources of energy, gas/electricity, are required to keep the oxidiser up to temperature. These oxidisers operate well between 1-5g/m³ and at large air flow-rates and are relatively easy to operate with low capital costs.

  Comparative thermal oxidisers use heat exchangers rather than a gravel or ceramic bed to recover the heat, limiting the heat recovery to around 70%. Hence, more solvent is required in the inlet stream (2-3g/m³) to obtain auto-thermal destruction than with the regenerative oxidiser.

- **Direct catalytic oxidisers**
  - Catalytic oxidisers have the advantage of lower operating temperatures and greater destruction efficiencies than thermal oxidisers and, hence, lower running costs. However, the cost of the catalyst usually results in higher capital costs. Mini-catalytic systems can be used where the air flow-rates are low or can be used where emissions are intermittent.

- **Bio-filtration systems**
  - Bio-filtration is the bacterial oxidation of organic matter and results in the conversion of organic matter, like incineration, into carbon based gases and water vapour. Bio-filters are good at removing low concentrations of solvent but they suffer the disadvantages of the time taken to destroy...
VOC’s, efficiency of destruction and process control. Some solvents are easily destroyed by the micro-organisms in the filters but larger molecules, like styrene, need longer residence times for destruction to occur requiring larger systems with greater area. The efficiencies vary from 60-70% for long dwell time bio-filters to 80-90% for buffer-effect bio-scrubbers.

Extraction concentrations are limited to 1g/m³ for bio-scrubbers and 0.35g/m³ for bio-filters. Inlet conditions, especially temperature (20 and 40°C), require careful control to ensure the optimum destruction efficiency and to reduce costs. Humidity control is also essential for the survival and metabolism of the micro organisms. Changes in the solvent inlet concentration affects the metabolism of the micro organisms and will result in low efficiencies at higher inlet solvent concentrations.

○ **Adsorption and adsorption onto sacrificial intermediates**

These two technologies are similar with the exception of the media and they both suffer from similar disadvantages. Adsorption usually occurs onto a carbon filter whilst absorption is into a liquid. When saturated with solvent the media are removed and sent off-site for regeneration or disposal.

These systems are not used on continuous or semi-continuous exhaust systems but in areas that are purged intermittently. The running costs are high.

○ **Concentration systems**

Concentration systems are probably the best technique for low VOC abatement from exhaust levels typically found in the GRP industry. There are two types of concentration systems, rotary wheels and fluidised bed. Both remove solvents from the inlet air by adsorption onto zeolites or polymeric adsorbents and desorbs them into a hot air stream that is a fraction of the level of the original airflow. The concentrated air stream contains solvent between 2 and 8 g/m³, which can be destroyed in a catalytic oxidiser with no extra fuel, reducing both capital and operating costs. The selection of a specific concentration system depends upon the concentration ratio required bearing in mind that the objective is to achieve as high a concentration ratio as possible in order to reduce both the capital cost (by decreasing the size of the unit) and the operating cost (by ensuring the system is always auto thermal). Extra heat generated can be used for re-heating the replacement air. The following table gives an overview of the process conditions and approximate investment costs for some of the abovementioned systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Ingoing concentration</th>
<th>Outgoing concentration</th>
<th>Investment (£1000Nm³/h)</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption on active carbon</td>
<td>100-100,000 m³/h</td>
<td>10-10,000 mgr/m³</td>
<td>5-100 mgr/m³</td>
<td>5,000 – 10,000</td>
<td>- Simple robust technique</td>
<td>Saturated sorbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio filtration</td>
<td>50 – 200 m³/m²/h</td>
<td>50 – 500 mgr/m³</td>
<td>&gt; 10 mgr/m³</td>
<td>5,000 – 20,000</td>
<td>- Simple construction</td>
<td>Large volume installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Biological process</td>
<td>Sensitive to poisoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalytic oxidizer</td>
<td>1000 – 30,000 m³/h</td>
<td>&gt; 1,000 – 2,000 mgr/m³</td>
<td>&lt; 20 – 50 mgr/m³</td>
<td>10,000 – 40,000</td>
<td>- High yield</td>
<td>Inflexible at changing concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Relatively compact installation</td>
<td>Use of additional fuel when not running auto thermal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidizer</td>
<td>1000 – 30,000 m³/h</td>
<td>&gt; 1,000 – 2,000 mgr/m³</td>
<td>&lt; 20 – 50 mgr/m³</td>
<td>5,000 – 40,000</td>
<td>- High yield</td>
<td>Use of additional fuel when not running auto thermal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Relatively compact installation</td>
<td>Emission of CO₂ and NOₓ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Heat recovery possible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regenerative adsorption</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>500 – 5000 mgr/m³</td>
<td>100 – 250 mgr/m³</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>- No chemical waste</td>
<td>Complex installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryocondensation</td>
<td>0 – 1000 m³/h</td>
<td>200 – 1,000 gr/m³</td>
<td>1 – 5 gr/m³</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>- Compact technique</td>
<td>Use of liquid nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Recovery of VOC’s</td>
<td>Not suitable for wet gas streams</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Contacts for VOC abatement:
- Chematur Limited (Polyad)
- CSO Technic Limited (Therminodour)
- Air Protekt
- Forbes Environmental Technologies
- Bioway

The companies listed in the abatement section can be found on the following websites:

- **Chematur Limited (Polyad):**
  [http://www.chematur.se/]
- **CSO Technic Limited (Therminodour):**
  [http://www.csotech.com/]
- **Air Protekt:**
  [http://www.airprotekt.co.uk/]
- **Forbes Environmental Technologies:**
  [http://www.forbes-group.co.uk/index.htm]
- **Bioway:**
  [http://www.bioway.nl/]

This publication is intended for guidance only and while the information is provided in good faith and has been based on the best information currently available, is to be relied upon at the user’s own risk. The information contained in this document is provided in good faith and, while it is accurate as far as the authors are aware, no representations or warranties are made with regards to its completeness and no liability will be accepted for damages of any nature whatsoever resulting from the use of or reliance on the information contained in the publication.